

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Ethan Kessinger

Weierstrass Approximation Theorem

Theorem: Every continuous, non-differentiable, real-valued function on a closed interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ can be approximated by a sequence of polynomials. i.e.:

$$\forall f \in C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R}) \exists (p_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}([a, b], \mathbb{R}) : \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} : \forall n \geq N \|f - p_n\| < \varepsilon.$$

Where \mathcal{P} is the set of polynomials and $\|\cdot\|$ is the supremum norm for functions. This is equivalent to the set of polynomials being dense in $C^0([a, b], \mathbb{R})$.

Before beginning the proof, it is necessary to introduce a definition and a few related formulas.

Definition: Let $f \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. The *Bernstein Polynomials* of f are the polynomials which construct the sequence $(p_n) \subseteq \mathcal{P}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} p_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \quad (1)$$

We then define the functions $r_k : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$x \mapsto r_k(x) := \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}. \quad (2)$$

From this definition, we can obtain two useful formulas, namely

$$\sum_{k=0}^n r_k(x) = 1, \quad (3)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (k - nx)^2 r_k(x) = nx(1-x). \quad (4)$$

Taking the sum of r_k as an n^{th} degree binomial expression, we can set $\sum_{k=0}^n r_k(x) = (x+y)^n$, where $y = 1-x$. This naturally implies (3). If we temporarily fix y and instead differentiate the binomial equation twice in terms of x , we obtain

$$n(x+y)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} kx^{k-1}y^{n-k}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$n(n-1)(x+y)^{n-2} = \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)x^{k-2}y^{n-k}. \quad (6)$$

Setting $y = 1 - x$ again, multiplying (5) by x , and multiplying (6) by x^2 yields

$$\sum_{k=0}^n kr_k(x) = nx, \quad (7)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)r_k(x) = n(n-1)x^2. \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k^2r_k(x) = n(n-1)x^2 + \sum_{k=0}^n kr_k(x) = n(n-1)x^2 + nx. \quad (9)$$

Using (3), (7), and (9), we construct

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (k-nx)^2r_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n k^2r_k(x) - 2nx \sum_{k=0}^n kr_k(x) + (nx)^2 \sum_{k=0}^n r_k(x) = nx(1-x),$$

which is the expected result in (4).

Proof of Weierstrass Approximation Theorem:

Firstly, instead of considering an arbitrary closed interval $[a, b] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we need only consider the closed interval $[0, 1]$, since any closed interval is homeomorphic to $[0, 1]$. Our goal is to show that the sequence of Bernstein polynomials converges uniformly to $f \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$. Using the definition of r_k and (3), we can rewrite f and its n^{th} Bernstein polynomial as

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f(x)r_k(x) \quad \wedge \quad p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)r_k(x).$$

By doing this, the difference between the two functions can be written as

$$p_n - f = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f \right) r_k. \quad (10)$$

Since $[0, 1]$ is compact in \mathbb{R} and f is continuous, f is uniformly continuous on $[0,1]$. This means, given some $\varepsilon > 0$, we can find a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x, y \in [0, 1] : |x - y| < \delta \implies |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \quad (11)$$

Using (11), we can group the indices from (10) into two groups, namely, for an arbitrary $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$K_1 = \{k \in \{0, \dots, n\} : |\frac{k}{n} - x| < \delta\} \wedge K_2 = \{0, \dots, n\} \setminus K_1.$$

With this grouping of indices, it becomes possible to arrange (10) so that

$$\forall x \in [0, 1] \quad |p_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \sum_{k \in K_1} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| r_k(x) + \sum_{k \in K_2} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| r_k(x).$$

Applying (3) and (11), we obtain

$$|p_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{k \in K_2} \left| f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) - f(x) \right| r_k(x). \quad (12)$$

All that is left is to show the second sum is also less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. We begin by noting that, by (4),

$$nx(1-x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (k-nx)^2 r_k(x) \geq \sum_{k \in K_2} (k-nx)^2 r_k(x).$$

Since $k \in K_2$ implies $|\frac{k}{n} - x| \geq \delta$, we obtain

$$nx(1-x) \geq \sum_{k \in K_2} (k-nx)^2 r_k(x) \geq \sum_{k \in K_2} (n\delta)^2 r_k(x). \quad (13)$$

With this, and the fact that $\max(x(1-x)) = \frac{1}{4}$, we can rewrite (13) as

$$\sum_{k \in K_2} r_k(x) \leq \frac{nx(1-x)}{(n\delta)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4n\delta^2}. \quad (14)$$

Since $|f(\frac{k}{n}) - f(x)| \leq 2M$, $M = \|f\|$, we can combine (12) and (14) to obtain

$$|p_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{M}{2n\delta^2} \leq \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large n . This implies $(p_n) \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[0,1]$, completing the proof. \square

Stone-Weierstrass Theorem

Before introducing the theorem itself, it is necessary to include several definitions which are used in the proof. Let X be an arbitrary topological space.

Definition: $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ is a *function algebra* iff it is closed under addition, scalar multiplication, and function multiplication. i.e.:

$$\forall f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\alpha_1 f_1 + \beta_1 g_1)(\alpha_2 f_2 + \beta_2 g_2) \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Definition: A function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ *vanishes* at a point $p \in X$ iff $\forall f \in \mathcal{A} \quad f(p) = 0$.

Definition: A function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ *separates points* iff every pair of distinct points have distinct values for some function in the algebra. i.e.:

$$\forall p_1, p_2 \in X : p_1 \neq p_2 \quad \exists f \in \mathcal{A} : f(p_1) \neq f(p_2).$$

Along with these definitions, two lemmas will be required for the proof.

Lemma I: (2-Point Interpolation) *If a function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes nowhere and separates points then there exists an $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with specified values at any pair of distinct points. i.e.:*

$$\forall c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists f \in \mathcal{A} \wedge \exists p_1, p_2 \in X : p_1 \neq p_2 \wedge f(p_1) = c_1 \wedge f(p_2) = c_2.$$

Proof of Lemma I:

Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p_1, p_2 \in X$. Since \mathcal{A} vanishes nowhere, there exist $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g_1(p_1) \neq 0 \neq g_2(p_2)$. This means that there exists a $g \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g = g_1^2 + g_2^2$ and $g(p_1) \neq 0 \neq g(p_2)$ by construction.

Additionally, since \mathcal{A} separates points, we can find an $h \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$. We then construct the matrix

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} g(p_1) & g(p_1)h(p_1) \\ g(p_2) & g(p_2)h(p_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $g(p_1), g(p_2) \neq 0$ and $h(p_1) \neq h(p_2)$,

$$\det H = g(p_1)g(p_2)h(p_2) - g(p_1)g(p_2)h(p_1) = g(p_1)g(p_2)(h(p_2) - h(p_1)) \neq 0.$$

This implies that the columns of H are linearly independent and there is a solution $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$f_1 g + f_2 g h = f \in \mathcal{A} \wedge f(p_1) = c_1 \wedge f(p_2) = c_2,$$

completing the proof. \square

Lemma II.i: *The closure of a function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ is a function algebra.*

Proof of Lemma II.i:

The conclusion is easily obtained via the definition of a function algebra.

Lemma II.ii: *If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ is a function algebra and $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ then $|f| \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$.*

Proof of Lemma II.ii:

Let $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Using the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem, we can find a polynomial $p \in \mathcal{P}([- \|f\|, \|f\|]; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\sup\{|p(\omega) - |\omega|| : |\omega| \leq \|f\|\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad (15)$$

since $|\cdot|$ is continuous on the interval $[-\|f\|, \|f\|]$. Additionally, since $|p(0) - 0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, the constant term is less than $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. In order to eliminate the constant term of p , we define $q \in \mathcal{P}([- \|f\|, \|f\|]; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall \omega \in [-\|f\|, \|f\|] \quad \omega \mapsto q(\omega) := p(\omega) - p(0). \quad (16)$$

Using (15) and (16), we obtain

$$\sup\{|q(\omega) - |\omega|| : |\omega| \leq \|f\|\} < \varepsilon. \quad (17)$$

We can write $q(\omega)$ as an n^{th} degree polynomial so that

$$q(\omega) = a_1\omega + \cdots + a_n\omega^n,$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $g \in C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g = a_1f + \cdots + a_nf^n. \quad (18)$$

Since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a function algebra by Lemma II.i and $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, g is also in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Using (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\forall x \in X \quad |g(x) - |f(x)|| = |q(f(x)) - |f(x)|| < \varepsilon.$$

This allows us to construct a sequence of functions in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ converging uniformly to $|f|$, completing the proof. \square

Lemma II: (Inclusion of Pointwise Maximum and Minimum) *If $f, g \in \overline{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ then $\max(f, g), \min(f, g) \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ where*

$$\max(f, g) = \frac{f+g}{2} + \frac{|f-g|}{2} \quad \wedge \quad \min(f, g) = \frac{f+g}{2} - \frac{|f-g|}{2}.$$

Proof of Lemma II:

The conclusion is easily obtained via Lemma II.ii and the definition of a function algebra.

Theorem: *If X is a compact, hausdorff topological space and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$ is a function algebra that vanishes nowhere and separates points, then \mathcal{A} is dense in $C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof of Stone-Weierstrass Thorem:

Let $f \in C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. The goal is to construct a function $g \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that

$$\forall x \in X \quad f(x) - \varepsilon < g(x) < f(x) + \varepsilon.$$

Let $p, q \in X$ be distinct points. Using Lemma I, we can find $h_{pq} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$h_{pq}(p) = f(p) \quad \wedge \quad h_{pq}(q) = f(q). \tag{19}$$

If we let q vary, then we can find an open neighborhood $U_q \subseteq X$ of each q so that

$$x \in U_q \Rightarrow f(x) - \varepsilon < h_{pq}(x), \tag{20}$$

since h_{pq} is a continuous function. The compactness of X implies that there is an open subcovering composed of finitely many neighborhoods U_{q_1}, \dots, U_{q_n} . If we define $g_p \in C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\forall x \in X \quad g_p(x) = \max(h_{pq_1}(x), \dots, h_{pq_n}(x)), \tag{21}$$

then $g_p \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ by Lemma II, and, by using (19), (20), and (21),

$$\forall x \in X \quad f(x) - \varepsilon < g_p(x) \quad \wedge \quad g_p(p) = f(p). \tag{22}$$

If we let p vary, then, by continuity, we can find an open neighborhood $V_p \subseteq X$ of each p so that

$$x \in V_p \Rightarrow g_p(x) < f(x) + \varepsilon. \tag{23}$$

Again, since X is compact, we can find a finite subcovering composed of neighborhoods V_{p_1}, \dots, V_{p_n} . By defining $g \in C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ so that

$$\forall x \in X \quad g(x) = \min(g_{p_1}(x), \dots, g_{p_n}(x)), \quad (24)$$

so $g \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$. We can apply (22) and (23) to show

$$\forall x \in X \quad f(x) - \varepsilon < g(x) < f(x) + \varepsilon,$$

so we can construct a sequence of functions in $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ converging uniformly to f , completing the proof. \square

Remarks on Stone-Weierstrass Theorem:

1.) If X was not hausdorff, then any function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(X; \mathbb{R})$ would not be able to separate points, so the property is implicitly required for the theorem.

2.) As an example of a noncompact space in which Stone-Weierstrass fails in general, set $X = \mathbb{R}$ with the topology of uniform convergence and define the function algebra $\mathcal{A} \subseteq C^0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ so that $\mathcal{A} = C_b^0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$. \mathcal{A} vanishes nowhere and separates points, but the distance between any function in \mathcal{A} and an unbounded function in $C^0(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ is infinite under the supremum norm.

Corollary of Stone-Weierstrass Theorem: Any 2π -periodic continuous function on \mathbb{R} can be approximated by trigonometric polynomials of the form

$$T(x) = c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \cos kx + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \sin kx.$$

Proof of Corollary:

We begin by noticing that we can parameterize the unit circle $S^1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ by the interval $[0, 2\pi) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ so that $x \mapsto (\cos x, \sin x)$, in which case, all 2π -periodic continuous functions are also continuous on S^1 , which is compact. Using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, it is sufficient to show that the set of trigonometric polynomials $\mathcal{T} \subseteq C^0(S^1)$ is an algebra that separates points and vanishes nowhere. Using the formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \cos kx \sin jx &= \frac{1}{2}(\sin(k+j)x + \sin(k-j)x) \\ \cos kx \cos jx &= \frac{1}{2}(\cos(k+j)x + \cos(k-j)x) \\ \sin kx \sin jx &= \frac{1}{2}(\cos(k-j)x - \cos(k+j)x), \end{aligned}$$

it is simple to show \mathcal{T} is closed under function product, and closure under addition and scalar multiplication are trivial. It is similarly trivial to show \mathcal{T} vanishes nowhere. To show that \mathcal{T} separates points, we need only note that if $\sin a = \sin b$ then $\cos a \neq \cos b$, and vice versa, completing the proof. \square