

Stone - Weierstrass theorem

Weierstrass approximation theorem (1885)

$f \in C[a, b] \Rightarrow \exists (p_n)$ polynomials, $p_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on $[a, b]$.

N.H. Stone's theorem (1948)

X : Hausdorff $C(X) = C(X, \mathbb{R})$

$A \subset C(X)$ algebra $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{vanishing nowhere: } (\forall p \in X) (\exists f \in A) f(p) \neq 0 \\ \text{separating points: } p, q \in X, p \neq q \\ \Rightarrow \exists h \in A, h(p) \neq h(q) \end{array} \right.$

Then A is dense in $C(X)$ (note this requires X Hausdorff)
(for the u.o.c. topology).

Lemma 1 If $A \subset C(X)$ is an algebra vanishing nowhere and separating points, then for any $p \neq q$ in X , any $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, $\exists f \in A$ sat. $f(p) = c_1, f(q) = c_2$. \odot

Proof. Let $g_1, g_2 \in A$ with $g_1(p) \neq 0, g_2(q) \neq 0$. Then $g = g_1^2 + g_2^2 \in A$ is nonvanishing at p and q . Let $h \in A$ w/ $h(p) \neq h(q)$, and find $f \in A$ of the form $f(x) = \alpha g(x) + \beta g(x)h(x)$. The 2×2 linear system for (α, β) given by $\begin{cases} f(p) = c_1 \\ f(q) = c_2 \end{cases}$ has determinant $g(p)g(q)[h(p) - h(q)] \neq 0$.

Hence there exists a unique soln (α, β) .

\odot We may call this the "two point interpolation property" for A .

Lemma 2 $A \subset C(X)$ algebra

$f \in A \Rightarrow \overline{\{f\}} \in \overline{A}$ (u.o.c. closure)

Pf. of lemma 2 Let $f \in A$, $\epsilon > 0$, $K \subset X$ compact, $\|f\|_K = \sup_{x \in K} |f(x)|$ (2)

Using Weierstrass's Approximation theorem, find a polynomial $p(t)$ s.t.

$$|p(t) - |t|| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \quad \forall t \text{ s.t. } |t| \leq \|f\|_K$$

In part $|p(0)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, so $q(t) = p(t) - p(0)$ sat.

$$|q(t) - |t|| < \epsilon \quad \text{if } |t| \leq \|f\|_K.$$

Note $g = q \circ f \in A$ (this needs $q(0) = 0$). For $x \in K$, we have:

$$|g(x) - |f(x)|| < \epsilon \quad \hookrightarrow \text{(since we don't assume } A \text{ includes constants)}$$

This shows $|f| \in \bar{A}$. □

Corollary of lemma 2: " \bar{A} is a lattice" (note $\bar{\bar{A}} = \bar{A}$ is an algebra if A is.)

$$f, g \in \bar{A} \rightarrow \min\{f, g\} \in \bar{A}, \max\{f, g\} \in \bar{A}.$$

follows from $\min\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{2} [f + g - |f - g|]$, $\max\{f, g\} = \frac{1}{2} [f + g + |f - g|]$.

Lemma 3 Let $A \subset C(X)$ be a subset which (i) has the 2-point interpolation property and (ii) is a lattice. Then A is dense in $C(X)$ (u.o.c.).

Proof. Let $f \in C(X)$, $K \subset X$ compact, $\epsilon > 0$. We want $g \in A$ s.t.

$$f(x) - \epsilon < g(x) < f(x) + \epsilon, \quad x \in K.$$

Given $p, q \in X$, let $h_{pq} \in A$ satisfy $h_{pq}(p) = f(p)$, $h_{pq}(q) = f(q)$
(use the interpolation property; $p = q$ allowed.)

Fix $p \in K$. Then $\exists \bigcup_{q \in K} \cup_{pq}$ nbd of q s.t. $f(x) - \epsilon < h_{pq}(x) \quad \forall x \in \cup_{pq}$

So $K \subset \cup_{pq_1} \cup \dots \cup \cup_{pq_n}$, and if $h_p = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_{pq_i} \in A$, $f(x) - \epsilon < h_p(x) \quad \forall x \in K$.
 $h_p(p) = f(p) \quad \forall p \in K$

Now vary p :
 $\exists \forall_p$ nbd. of p s.t. $h_p(x) < f(x) + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in \forall_p$

Cover $K \subset \forall_{p_1} \cup \dots \cup \forall_{p_m}$, let $g(x) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} (h_{p_j}) \in A$. Then g sat:

$$f(x) - \epsilon < g(x) < f(x) + \epsilon \quad \forall x \in K.$$

(3)

Remark Note in lemma 3, "A is an algebra" is not needed.

Lemmas 1, 2, 3 prove Stone's theorem (assuming the Weierstrass Approx Thm).

Proof of Weierstrass Approximation. (may assume the interval is $[0, 1]$.)

There is a "formula". For $f \in C[0, 1]$, $n \geq 1$, define:

$$B_n[f] = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \in \mathcal{P}_n[0, 1]$$

(\mathcal{P}_n : polynomials of degree $\leq n$) : "Bernstein polynomials".

$B_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n[0, 1]$ is a bounded linear operator: $\|B_n\| = 1$

Lemma 4: $B_n[1] = 1$, $B_n[x] = x$, $B_n[x^2] = x^2 + \frac{1}{n}x(1-x)$.

Pf. (outline) Differentiate $(x+y)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k}$ in x (twice), and set $y = 1-x$ to get:

$$n = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} k x^{k-1} (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad n(n-1)x^2 = \sum_{k=2}^n \binom{n}{k} k(k-1) x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$$

Exercise 1 B_n restricted to $\text{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{1, x, x^2\}$ is diagonalizable, with eigenvalues

$\{1, 1, \frac{n-1}{n}\}$, eigenfunctions $1, x, x(1-x)$. Exercise 2 B_n preserves monotonicity and convexity of functions

modulus of continuity Since $f \in C[0, 1]$ is unif. conti, defining for $\delta > 0$:

$$\omega_f(\delta) = \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : x, y \in [0, 1], |x - y| < \delta \}$$

$\omega_f(\delta) \downarrow 0$ as $\delta \downarrow 0$.

Let $r_{nk}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$, $x \in [0, 1]$ $r_{nk} \in \mathcal{P}_n[0, 1]$ $k = 0, \dots, n$

Lemma 5 $\|f - B_n[f]\| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{4n\delta^2}\right) \omega_f(\delta) \quad \forall \delta > 0$. (sup norm).

Then $\forall \epsilon > 0$ choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\omega_f(\delta) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, then n so that $\frac{1}{4n\delta^2} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$

to get $\|f - B_n[f]\| < \epsilon$, proving Weierstrass approx.

Cor choosing $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$: $\|f - B_n[f]\| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega_f\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Proof of lemma 5 We have $B_n[f](x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(f(x) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right) r_k(x)$
 (Fix $n \geq 1$.) Let $x \in [0, 1]$. Set $K_1 = \{k \mid |x - \frac{k}{n}| \leq \delta\}$, $K_2 = \{k \mid |x - \frac{k}{n}| > \delta\}$

Then $|f(x) - B_n[f](x)| \leq \sum_{k \in K_1} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| r_k(x) + \sum_{k \in K_2} |f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| r_k(x)$
 and the sum over K_1 is bounded above by $\omega_f(\delta)$.

To estimate the sum over K_2 : divide the interval between x and $\frac{k}{n}$ into segments of length δ . There are $\frac{|x - \frac{k}{n}|}{\delta}$ segments, and following the change of f along points in the chain we find:

$$|f(x) - f(\frac{k}{n})| \leq \frac{|x - \frac{k}{n}|}{\delta} \omega_f(\delta) \leq \left(\frac{|x - \frac{k}{n}|}{\delta}\right)^2 \omega_f(\delta),$$

since $\frac{|x - \frac{k}{n}|}{\delta} > 1$ for $k \in K_2$. Thus the sum over K_2 is bounded above by:

$$\frac{\omega_f(\delta)}{\delta^2} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(x^2 - \frac{2k}{n}x + \frac{k^2}{n^2} \right) r_k(x) = \frac{\omega_f(\delta)}{\delta^2} \left(x^2 - 2x B_n[x] + B_n[x^2] \right)$$

(Lemma 4) $\leftarrow = \frac{\omega_f(\delta)}{\delta^2} \left(x^2 - 2x^2 + x^2 + \frac{1}{n}x(1-x) \right) = \frac{\omega_f(\delta)}{\delta^2} \frac{1}{n}x(1-x) \leq \frac{\omega_f(\delta)}{4n\delta^2} \square$ (Lemma 5)

Remark on complex-valued functions

Let $X = \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ (open unit disk) \mathcal{A} : algebra of polynomials in $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
 (nowhere vanishing, separates points)

BUT $(f_n \in \mathcal{A}, f_n \rightarrow f \text{ u.o.c. in } \mathbb{D}) \Rightarrow \underline{f \text{ is analytic in } \mathbb{D}!}$

Thus Stone's theorem is NOT TRUE for $\mathcal{A} \subset C(X; \mathbb{C})$.

But it's true if we add a requirement to $\mathcal{A} \subset C(X; \mathbb{C}) : \underline{f \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \bar{f} \in \mathcal{A}}$.

Note $f = \text{Re } f + i \text{Im } f$, $\text{Re } f = \frac{1}{2}(f + \bar{f})$, $\text{Im } f = \frac{1}{2i}(f - \bar{f})$ $\text{Re } f, \text{Im } f \in C(X; \mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 3 The algebra $\mathcal{B} = \{ f + \bar{f}; i(f - \bar{f}); f \in \mathcal{A} \} \subset C(X; \mathbb{R})$ is separating and nowhere vanishing if $\mathcal{A} \subset C(X; \mathbb{C})$ is (and is an algebra).

Then given $f \in C(X; \mathbb{C})$, $\epsilon > 0$, $K \subset X$ compact, find $g_1 = f_1 + \bar{f}_1$, $g_2 = i(f_2 - \bar{f}_2)$
 $(f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{B})$ with $\|g_1 - \text{Re } f\|_K < \epsilon$, $\|g_2 - \text{Im } f\|_K < \epsilon$.

Let $g = g_1 + i g_2 \in \mathcal{B}$

Then $\|g - f\|_K = \sup_{x \in K} \left[(g_1 - \text{Re } f)^2(x) + (g_2 - \text{Im } f)^2(x) \right]^{1/2} < \sqrt{2} \epsilon$.