

1) Let

$$\begin{aligned}f &= 3x^5 + 4x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + 3x + 2, \\g &= 4x^3 + 2,\end{aligned}$$

be polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_5[x]$ . Find  $\gcd(f, g)$ .

[**Note:** There is *no need* to express the GCD as linear combination of  $f$  and  $g$ .]

*Solution.* With long divisions, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}f &= g \cdot (2x^2 + x + 4) + (2x^2 + x + 4) \\g &= (2x^2 + x + 4) \cdot (2x + 4) + (3x + 1) \\(2x^2 + x + 4) &= (3x + 1) \cdot (4x + 4) + 0.\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\gcd(f, g)$  is the *monic associate* of  $3x + 1$ , i.e.,  $\gcd(f, g) = 2 \cdot (3x + 1) = x + 2$ .  $\square$

2) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Prove that  $R[x]$  is a domain if and only if  $R$  is a domain.

[**Note:** This was done in class.]

*Proof.* [ $\Leftarrow$ ] Suppose that  $R$  is a domain and  $f, g \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$  with  $f \cdot g = 0$ . Since  $R$  is a domain, taking degrees we have:

$$-\infty = \deg(0) = \deg(f \cdot g) = \deg(f) + \deg(g).$$

Since  $\deg(f), \deg(g) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{-\infty\}$ , we must have that either  $\deg(f) = -\infty$  or  $\deg(g) = -\infty$ , i.e., either  $f = 0$  or  $g = 0$ , a contradiction.

Hence,  $R[x]$  has no non-zero zero divisor, and hence  $R[x]$  is a domain.

[ $\Rightarrow$ ] Suppose that  $R$  is not a domain. Then, there are  $a, b \in R \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a \cdot b = 0$ . Since also  $a, b \in R[x] \setminus \{0\}$ , we have that  $a$  [and  $b$ ] are non-zero zero divisors of  $R[x]$ , and hence  $R[x]$  is also not a domain.  $\square$

**3)** Let  $F$  be a field,  $f \in F[x]$ , and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \in F$  be distinct roots of  $f$ , i.e.,  $f(a_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Prove that there is  $g \in F[x]$  such that

$$f = g \cdot (x - a_1)(x - a_2) \cdots (x - a_k).$$

[**Hint:** We have proved the case when  $k = 1$  in class and you *can* use that.]

*Proof.* We prove it by induction on  $k$ .

The case  $k = 1$  was done in class.

Now, suppose that the statement is true for  $k - 1$  roots, and assume  $\{a_1, \dots, a_k\}$  are roots. Then, by the induction hypothesis we have that there is  $g \in F[x]$  such that

$$f = g \cdot (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_{k-1}).$$

Hence,

$$0 = f(a_k) = g(a_k) \cdot (a_k - a_1) \cdots (a_k - a_{k-1}).$$

Since  $F$  is a field [and hence a domain] and all factors on the left of the equation above as in  $F$ , we must have that at least one of them is 0. But since  $a_1, \dots, a_k$  are *distinct*, we have that  $(a_k - a_1), \dots, (a_k - a_{k-1}) \neq 0$ . Thus  $g(a_k) = 0$ . By the induction hypothesis again, we have that there exists  $h \in F[x]$  such that  $g = h \cdot (x - a_k)$ . Together with the factorization of  $f$  above, we obtain:

$$f = h \cdot (x - a_k) \cdot (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_{k-1}) = h \cdot (x - a_1) \cdots (x - a_k).$$

□

4) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $a \in R$ ,  $f \in R[x]$ , and suppose that  $(x - a) \mid f$ . Prove that  $(x - a)^2 \mid f$  if and only if  $(x - a) \mid f'$  [where  $f'$  is the derivative of  $f$ ].

[**Note:** This was a HW problem. You can use the formulas for the derivative from Calculus and the *Basic Lemma* for polynomial rings.]

*Proof.* Since  $(x - a) \mid f(x)$ , we can write  $f(x) = (x - a)g(x)$  for some  $g(x) \in R[x]$ . Then,  $f'(x) = g(x) + (x - a)g'(x)$ . So, if  $(x - a) \mid f'(x)$ , then  $(x - a) \mid g(x)$  by the Basic Lemma. Now, since  $(x - a) \mid g(x)$ , we have that  $g(x) = (x - a)h(x)$  for some  $h(x) \in R[x]$ , and so  $f(x) = (x - a)g(x) = (x - a)^2h(x)$ , i.e.,  $(x - a)^2 \mid f(x)$ .

Suppose now that  $(x - a)^2 \mid f(x)$ . Thus, we can write  $f(x) = (x - a)^2h(x)$  for some  $h(x) \in R[x]$ . Then, [using the product rule] we have  $f'(x) = 2(x - a)h(x) + (x - a)^2g'(x) = (x - a)(2h(x) + (x - a)g'(x))$ , and  $(x - a) \mid f'(x)$ .  $\square$