

Problem 5: Sorgenfrey line, denoted \mathbb{R}_ℓ

(i) The collection of subsets of \mathbb{R} , $\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) : a < b\}$ (left-closed intervals) define a basis of a topology on \mathbb{R} .

We will show that $\mathcal{B} = \{[a, b) : a < b\}$ is a basis on \mathbb{R} .

1. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $x \in [x, x+1) \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B$ for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.
2. Let $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, where $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $B_1 = [a_1, b_1) \in \mathcal{B}$, and $B_2 = [a_2, b_2) \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $B_1 \cap B_2$ is non-empty, we must have

$$\max(a_1, a_2) \leq x < \min(b_1, b_2).$$

Set $B_3 = [\max(a_1, a_2), \min(b_1, b_2)) \in \mathcal{B}$. Since $B_3 = B_1 \cap B_2$, we conclude that for each $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x \in B_1 \cap B_2$, there exists $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$x \in B_3 \subset B_1 \cap B_2.$$

(ii) The topology is Hausdorff, and is finer than the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

To show that the topology is Hausdorff, let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Assume, without loss of generality, that $x_1 < x_2$. Let $B_1 = [x_1, x_2)$, and $B_2 = [x_2, x_2 + 1)$. Note that $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, so they are both open. Additionally,

$$x_1 \in B_1, \quad x_2 \in B_2, \quad B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset. \quad (1)$$

This proves that the topology generated by \mathcal{B} is Hausdorff.

To show that \mathbb{R}_ℓ is finer than the usual topology, recall that the set of open intervals in \mathbb{R} is a basis of the standard topology. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $x \in (a, b)$. Since $a < x < b$,

$$x \in [x, b) \subset (a, b). \quad (2)$$

Note that $[x, b) \in \mathcal{B}$, and (a, b) is an arbitrary basis element of the usual topology that contains x . By lemma 13.3 from Munkres, we conclude that the topology generated by \mathcal{B} is finer than the standard topology.

(iii) $\lim x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}_ℓ iff $x_n \rightarrow a_+$ in the usual topology.

In order to prove that $x_n \rightarrow a$ in any topological space, it is sufficient to show that for each basis element, B , that contains a , there exists some positive integer N such that $x_n \in B$ for each $n \geq N$. This is because for each open set U that contains a , there exists a basis element B such that $a \in B \subset U$. Also, since \mathbb{R}_ℓ is Hausdorff, it makes sense to say that the limit "equals" an element.

(\Leftarrow) Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of real numbers such that $x_n \rightarrow a_+$ in the usual topology. Let $B = [b, c)$, be an element of \mathcal{B} that contains a .

Consider $(b - 1, c)$, which is open in the usual topology. Since $x_n \rightarrow a_+$ in the usual topology, there exists some positive integer N_1 such that $x_n \in (b - 1, c)$ for each $n \geq N_1$. Because the limit is right-sided, there exists some positive integer N_2 such that $x_n \notin (b - 1, a)$ for each $n \geq N_2$. Thus, for $N := \max(N_1, N_2)$,

$$x_n \in (b - 1, c) - (b - 1, a) = [a, c) \subset [b, c) = B \quad (3)$$

for each $n \geq N$. This implies $\lim x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}_ℓ .

(\Rightarrow) Suppose $\lim x_n = a$ in \mathbb{R}_ℓ . Let $B = (b, c)$ be a basis of the standard topology on \mathbb{R} that contains a . Since \mathbb{R}_ℓ is finer than the usual topology, B is also open in \mathbb{R}_ℓ . So, there exists some positive natural number N such that for each $n \geq N$, we have $x_n \in B$. Thus, x_n converges to a in the usual topology.

To show that (x_n) converges from the right, suppose not. Then there must be infinitely many n such that $x_n \in (-\infty, a)$. On the other hand, $a \in [a, a + 1) \in \mathcal{B}$. Thus, $x_n \in [a, a + 1)$ for all sufficiently large n . Thus, there must exist some n such that $x_n \in [a, a + 1)$ and $x_n \in (-\infty, a)$. But,

$$(-\infty, a) \cap [a, a + 1) = \emptyset, \quad (4)$$

which is a contradiction. We conclude that $x_n \rightarrow a_+$ in the usual topology.