

# Problem Set 8

Jacob Honeycutt

November 17, 2020

## Problem 17.

If  $Y$  is Hausdorff and connected (respectively, path-connected) and  $X$  is Hausdorff, is  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  with the pointwise topology connected (respectively, path-connected)? What about in the compact-open topology? (Consider the case  $X = S^1$ , the unit circle, and  $Y = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ .)

*Proof.* • We show that  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  with the pointwise topology is path-connected if  $Y$  is path-connected.

Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ . Since  $Y$  is path-connected, for every  $x \in X$ , there exists a continuous map  $\gamma_x : [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\gamma_x(0) = f(x)$  and  $\gamma_x(1) = g(x)$ .

Define  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  by  $\gamma(t) = \gamma_x(t)$ , where the result is a function of  $x$ , for each  $t$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma(0) = f$  and  $\gamma(1) = g$ .

We show that  $\gamma$  is continuous. If  $U$  is a basic open set in  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ , then  $U = (U_x)$  where  $U_x \neq Y$  for only finitely many  $x \in X$ . Denote this subset of finitely many elements of  $X$  by  $F$ . Then

$$\gamma^{-1}(U) = \gamma^{-1}\left(\prod_{x \in X} U_x\right) = \bigcap_{x \in X} \gamma_x^{-1}(U_x) = \bigcap_{x \in F} \gamma_x^{-1}(U_x)$$

which is a finite intersection of open sets, as each  $\gamma_x^{-1}(U_x)$  is open by continuity of  $\gamma_x$ .

Therefore  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is path-connected.

- Next we show that  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  with the pointwise topology is connected if  $Y$  is connected. Assume that  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is not connected. Then we can write  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) = A \sqcup B$ , where  $A, B$  are both nonempty, open subsets of  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  is endowed with the product topology, projection maps are open, so  $\pi_x(A)$  and  $\pi_x(B)$  are open in  $Y$  for every  $x \in X$ .

We show that there is an  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_x(A) \sqcup \pi_x(B) = Y$ .

First, note that there exists an  $x \in X$  such that  $\pi_x(A) \cap \pi_x(B) = \emptyset$ , as otherwise, we could find  $y_x \in \pi_x(A) \cap \pi_x(B)$  for each  $x \in X$ , so there is a function  $f \in A$  such that  $f(x) = y_x$  for each  $x$  and there is a function  $g \in B$  such that  $g(x) = y_x$  for each  $x$ . But then  $f = g$ , so we have that  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction.

Next, for this  $x$ , we have that  $\pi_x(A) \cup \pi_x(B) = Y$ . Certainly the forward containment holds. If  $y \in Y$ , then  $\exists f \in \mathcal{F}(X, Y)$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}(X, Y) = A \sqcup B$ ,  $f \in A$  or  $f \in B$ . Thus  $y \in \pi_x(A)$  or  $y \in \pi_x(B)$ , so  $y \in \pi_x(A) \cup \pi_x(B)$ .

Lastly, we note that since  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty, their projections  $\pi_x(A)$  and  $\pi_x(B)$  are also nonempty. Therefore we have written  $Y = \pi_x(A) \sqcup \pi_x(B)$ , both open, disconnecting  $Y$ , a contradiction.

- Through correspondence with Dr. Freire, I have realized the compact-open topology questions deal with homotopies, which we have not covered yet.

□