October 29, 2013

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > → Ξ = ∽ ۹ < ↔

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We'll begin this topic through an example: We have a population of locusts that we want to track

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ →□ ● ◇◇◇

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults (in fact, only 2% each year survive to the nymph stage, and 5% of nymphs survive to adulthood).

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults (in fact, only 2% each year survive to the nymph stage, and 5% of nymphs survive to adulthood).

Some die as eggs, some die as nymphs.

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults (in fact, only 2% each year survive to the nymph stage, and 5% of nymphs survive to adulthood).

- Some die as eggs, some die as nymphs.
- Locusts only reproduce during the adult stage of their life

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

- Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults (in fact, only 2% each year survive to the nymph stage, and 5% of nymphs survive to adulthood).
- Some die as eggs, some die as nymphs.
- Locusts only reproduce during the adult stage of their life the average female adult produces 1000 eggs before dying.

We'll begin this topic through an example:

We have a population of locusts that we want to track , but in order to understand how the population grows/declines over time, we need to actually keep track of what happens to it at each distinct life stage. This is because:

- Not all locust eggs suvive to become adults (in fact, only 2% each year survive to the nymph stage, and 5% of nymphs survive to adulthood).
- Some die as eggs, some die as nymphs.
- Locusts only reproduce during the adult stage of their life the average female adult produces 1000 eggs before dying.

Adults die soon after reproduction.

Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually:

Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

 Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually: eggs, nymphs, and adults

Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

- Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually: eggs, nymphs, and adults
- Let $x_1(t)$ be the number of female eggs at time step t

 Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

- Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually: eggs, nymphs, and adults
- Let $x_1(t)$ be the number of female eggs at time step t
- Let $x_2(t)$ be the number of female nymphs at time step t

 Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

- Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually: eggs, nymphs, and adults
- Let $x_1(t)$ be the number of female eggs at time step t
- Let $x_2(t)$ be the number of female nymphs at time step t
- Let $x_3(t)$ be the number of female adults at time step t

 Since only the females reproduce, we'll model only the females of the population.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

- Since each stage of development has different survival and reproduction characterists, we'll keep track of each stage individually: eggs, nymphs, and adults
- Let $x_1(t)$ be the number of female eggs at time step t
- Let $x_2(t)$ be the number of female nymphs at time step t
- Let $x_3(t)$ be the number of female adults at time step t

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

> Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

▶ Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

▶ Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

$$x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ →□ ● ◇◇◇

2% of the eggs survive to be nymphs

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

 \blacktriangleright Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

 \blacktriangleright 2% of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ の < @

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

 \blacktriangleright Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

 $\blacktriangleright~2\%$ of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

▶ 5% of the nymphs survive to adulthood,

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

 \blacktriangleright Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

 \blacktriangleright 2% of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

 \blacktriangleright 5% of the nymphs survive to adulthood, so

 $x_3(t+1) = .05x_2(t)$

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

▶ Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

 $\blacktriangleright~2\%$ of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

▶ 5% of the nymphs survive to adulthood, so $x_3(t+1) = .05x_2(t)$

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

▶ Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

2% of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

5% of the nymphs survive to adulthood, so

$$x_3(t+1) = .05x_2(t)$$

combining these equations into Matrix form gives us:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t+1) \\ x_2(t+1) \\ x_3(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1000 \\ .02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .05 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

We can express the dynamics of our locust populations through equations:

▶ Each female adult produces, on average, 1000 eggs within a year , so

 $x_1(t+1) = 1000x_3(t)$

 $\blacktriangleright~2\%$ of the eggs survive to be nymphs , so

 $x_2(t+1) = .02x_1(t)$

▶ 5% of the nymphs survive to adulthood, so

$$x_3(t+1) = .05x_2(t)$$

combining these equations into Matrix form gives us:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1(t+1) \\ x_2(t+1) \\ x_3(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1000 \\ .02 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .05 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1(t) \\ x_2(t) \\ x_3(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

OR

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| - | - | • | |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | | | 00 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | | |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | | | 00 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | 5 5 | | 00 |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 5 | 50000 | 0 | 0 0 |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| | | | |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | , , | | 00 |
|----|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| // | | | |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 50 | 100 | 50 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | , , | | 00 |
|----|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| // | | | |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 1 | 5 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | | | 00 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | | |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |

This type of matrix population model that takes into account births and survival rates of each class over time is known as the **Leslie matrix model**.

How does the population of locusts change over six years if there are initially only 50 adults and no eggs or hoppers?

| | | | 00 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
| 1 | 50,000 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0 | 1000 | 0 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| | | | |

What if instead we begin with 50 adults, 50 eggs, and 100 nymphs?

| t | Eggs | Nymphs | Adults |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| 1 | 50,000 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| 3 | 50 | 100 | 50 |
| 4 | 50000 | 1 | 5 |
| 5 | 5000 | 1000 | 0.05 |
| 6 | 50 | 100 | 50 |

We are getting very different behavior from this model than what we've seen in previous models.

- We are getting very different behavior from this model than what we've seen in previous models.
- In particular, it seems that for these two initial states, at least, there will be no long term equillibrium state reached, but rather the population will continue to cycle through the same pattern every few years.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- We are getting very different behavior from this model than what we've seen in previous models.
- In particular, it seems that for these two initial states, at least, there will be no long term equillibrium state reached, but rather the population will continue to cycle through the same pattern every few years.
- If these parameters are in fact accurate, then this model gives us insight into why we have years where there seem to be an enourmous numbers of locusts around and years when there are far fewer.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

- We are getting very different behavior from this model than what we've seen in previous models.
- In particular, it seems that for these two initial states, at least, there will be no long term equillibrium state reached, but rather the population will continue to cycle through the same pattern every few years.
- If these parameters are in fact accurate, then this model gives us insight into why we have years where there seem to be an enourmous numbers of locusts around and years when there are far fewer.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

American Bison Model

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more)

American Bison Model

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we can develop a Leslie matrix model for the population using:

- ► Females who reach age of 2 years survive an additional year with probability 0.95 and reproduce with the probability of 0.42.
- ▶ There is a 0.6 chance that a calf survives to be a yearling.
- ► There is a 0.7 chance that a yearling survives to adulthood.

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

0 0 .42

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

American Bison Model

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we can develop a Leslie matrix model for the population using:

- Females who reach age of 2 years survive an additional year with probability 0.95 and reproduce with the probability of 0.42.
- There is a 0.6 chance that a calf survives to be a yearling.
- ▶ There is a 0.7 chance that a yearling survives to adulthood.

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□ ◆ ○ ◆

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 < @</p>

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 < @</p>

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

So, if a herd begins with 100 adult females, then next year's herd structure will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

So, if a herd begins with 100 adult females, then next year's herd structure will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 42 \\ 0 \\ 95 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

So, if a herd begins with 100 adult females, then next year's herd structure will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 42 \\ 0 \\ 95 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can multiply this result again by our Leslie matrix to get the population after two years

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

So, if a herd begins with 100 adult females, then next year's herd structure will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 42 \\ 0 \\ 95 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can multiply this result again by our Leslie matrix to get the population after two years $\vec{p}(2) = A\vec{p}(1)$.

The Leslie matrix for this situation is:

So, if a herd begins with 100 adult females, then next year's herd structure will be

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & .42 \\ .6 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 & .95 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 100 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 42 \\ 0 \\ 95 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can multiply this result again by our Leslie matrix to get the population after two years $\vec{p}(2) = A\vec{p}(1)$.

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years. OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total |
|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | | | | |

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > 「豆 」 のへで

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total |
|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 |

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| | Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total |
|----|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 |
| 1: | 2 | 40 | 25 | 90 | 155 |
| I. | | | | | • |

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| | Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total |
|-----|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 |
| in: | 2 | 40 | 25 | 90 | 155 |
| in: | 3 | 38 | 24 | 104 | 166 |

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| Year | Calves | Figure Yearlings | s Adults | Total | |
|------|--------|------------------|----------|-------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | |
| 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 | |
| 2 | 40 | 25 | 90 | 155 | |
| 3 | 38 | 24 | 104 | 166 | |
| 4 | 44 | 23 | 117 | 184 | |

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total | |
|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|--|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | |
| 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 | |
| 2 | 40 | 25 | 90 | 155 | |
| 3 | 38 | 24 | 104 | 166 | |
| 4 | 44 | 23 | 117 | 184 | |
| 5 | 49 | 26 | 128 | 203 | |

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

And so on, to see how the herd changes over 5 years.

OR, we can use the general solution $\vec{p}(t) = A^t \vec{p}(0)$ to see how it changes over 5 years.

| | Year | Calves | Yearlings | Adults | Total |
|------------------------|------|--------|-----------|--------|-------|
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 |
| | 1 | 42 | 0 | 95 | 137 |
| Fither way we obtain | 2 | 40 | 25 | 90 | 155 |
| Either way, we obtain: | 3 | 38 | 24 | 104 | 166 |
| | 4 | 44 | 23 | 117 | 184 |
| | 5 | 49 | 26 | 128 | 203 |
| | | | | | |

We clearly see the total female population is growing each year. While the trend in each individual class is not so clear.

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class *i*

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class *i*, and S_i is the percent of individual in class *i* that survive to class i + 1 in each time step

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ → 三 → のへぐ

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class *i*, and S_i is the percent of individual in class *i* that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ → 三 → のへぐ

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

< D > < 同 > < E > < E > < E > < 0 < 0</p>

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

In Leslie matrix models we assume that we census the population **after** reproduction

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

In Leslie matrix models we assume that we census the population **after** reproduction and only the females are counted.

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

In Leslie matrix models we assume that we census the population **after** reproduction and only the females are counted. We assume survival and fecundity rates are constant over time.

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

In Leslie matrix models we assume that we census the population **after** reproduction and only the females are counted. We assume survival and fecundity rates are constant over time. We don't account for any other effects, like overcrowing, natural disasters/weather effects, seasonal changes, or harvesting of individuals from the population.

General Leslie Matrix Definitions

In general a Leslie matrix model has the form $\vec{x}(t+1) = A\vec{x}(t)$, where

where F_i is the average number of female offspring born to a female individual per time step in class i, and S_i is the percent of individual in class i that survive to class i + 1 in each time step, if i < n. S_n is the percent of individuals in the final class n that survive to the next year and remain in the same class.

In Leslie matrix models we assume that we census the population **after** reproduction and only the females are counted. We assume survival and fecundity rates are constant over time. We don't account for any other effects, like overcrowing, natural disasters/weather effects, seasonal changes, or harvesting of individuals from the population.

We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

• Then the value λ is called an eigenvalue.

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term,

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for $\vec{x}(t+1)$ into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, $\lambda \vec{x}(t) = A\vec{x}(t)$

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for $\vec{x}(t+1)$ into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, $\lambda \vec{x}(t) = A\vec{x}(t)$ or if \vec{x} * represents the long-term population distribution,

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, λx(t) = Ax(t) or if x* represents the long-term population distribution, then λx* = Ax*

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size. It may continue to grow without bound like in the bison model, or it may cycle like in the locust model.
- However, we generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, λx(t) = Ax(t) or if x* represents the long-term population distribution, then λx* = Ax*

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E、 の(の)

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

An example: If we have the 2 \times 2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

► Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

► Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$

An example: If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

► Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have $\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \\ \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} = 0$

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ★ □▶ = = - のへぐ

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda-1)x_1*-4x_2*=0$$

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0 \\ - .5x_1 * +\lambda x_2 * = 0$$

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0 \\ - .5x_1 * +\lambda x_2 * = 0$$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0$$

- .5 $x_1 * +\lambda x_2 * = 0$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

$$.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -2x_2 * = 0$$

 $-.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * +\lambda(\lambda - 1)x_2 * = 0$

So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0$$

- .5 $x_1 * +\lambda x_2 * = 0$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

$$.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -2x_2 * = 0$$

 $-.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * +\lambda(\lambda - 1)x_2 * = 0$

▶ Now adding the equations together gives $(\lambda(\lambda - 1) - 2) x_2 = 0$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E、 の(の)

Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.

• $(\lambda^2 - \lambda - 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$,

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- Thus, our long term growth rate is 2.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.
- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- ► Thus, our long term growth rate is 2. This means that for large time values, our population is doubling every time step, since x(t+1) = 2x(t).

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.
- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- ► Thus, our long term growth rate is 2. This means that for large time values, our population is doubling every time step, since x(t+1) = 2x(t).

If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000,

► If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.

- ► If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.
- This means that our population is growing by 100% in each time step.

- ► If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.
- This means that our population is growing by 100% in each time step.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○三 のへぐ

Leslie matrices ALWAYS have a unique positive eigenvalue.