Leslie Matrix Models

November 3, 2013

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more)

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○ ○

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ .6 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 \end{bmatrix}$.42 0 .95		
Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total	
0	0	0	100	100	

We obtain:

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

		0 0 .6 0 0 .75	.42 0 .95	
Year	Calves	Yearling	s Adults	Total
0	0	0	100	100
1	42	0	95	137

We obtain:

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

			$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ .6 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 \end{bmatrix}$.42 0 .95]	
	Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total
	0	0	0	100	100
	1	42	0	95	137
We obtain:	2	40	25	90	155

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

			$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ .6 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 \end{bmatrix}$.42 0 .95]	
	Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total
	0	0	0	100	100
	1	42	0	95	137
We obtain:	2	40	25	90	155
vve obtain.	3	38	24	104	166

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

			$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ .6 & 0 \\ 0 & .75 \end{bmatrix}$.42 0 .95]	
	Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total
	0	0	0	100	100
	1	42	0	95	137
We obtain:	2 3	40	25	90	155
vve obtain.	3	38	24	104	166
	4	44	23	117	184

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

			0 0 .6 0 0 .75	.42 0 .95	
	Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total
	0	0	0	100	100
	1	42	0	95	137
We obtain:	2	40	25	90	155
we obtain:	3	38	24	104	166
	4	44	23	117	184
	5	49	26	128	203

If we divide a bison population into the classes of calves, yearling, adults (age two or more) we developed a Leslie matrix model for the population using last time:

			0 0 .6 0 0 .75	.42 0 .95]	
	Year	Calves	Yearlings	Adults	Total
	0	0	0	100	100
	1	42	0	95	137
We obtain:	2	40	25	90	155
vve oblam.	3	38	24	104	166
	4	44	23	117	184
	5	49	26	128	203

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- each "next" population vector is some constant $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ times the previous one

• Then the value λ is called an eigenvalue.

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- each "next" population vector is some constant $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ times the previous one

► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term,

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for $\vec{x}(t+1)$ into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, $\lambda \vec{x}(t) = A\vec{x}(t)$

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, λx(t) = Ax(t) or if x* represents the long-term population distribution,

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, λx(t) = Ax(t) or if x* represents the long-term population distribution, then λx* = Ax*

- We have already seen that we cannot necessarily expect our population to stabilize long terms in terms of it's size.
- We generally can find that after a long enough time, the proportion of the population in each class does not change from one period to the next, althout the actual number of individuals in that class could change.
- This means that mathematically, we'll have

$$\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$$

- ► Then the value \u03c6 is called an eigenvalue. It is often also referred to as the long term growth rate of the population.
- ▶ Plugging in this expressing for x(t + 1) into the Leslie matrix model, we have that long term, λx(t) = Ax(t) or if x* represents the long-term population distribution, then λx* = Ax*

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} * = A \vec{x} *$,

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} * = A \vec{x} *$,so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$, so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$, so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$, so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

An example:

If we have the 2×2 Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the long term growth rate of the population this Leslie matrix is modeling.

Solution:

• We need to find λ such that $\lambda \vec{x} = A \vec{x}$, so

$$\lambda \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

This is the same as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting the right hand side expression from both sides, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda-1)x_1*-4x_2*=0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0 \ -.5x_1 * + \lambda x_2 * = 0$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0$$

- .5x₁ * + $\lambda x_2 * = 0$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

Finding the long-term growth rate, continued! So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0$$

- .5x₁ * + $\lambda x_2 * = 0$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

$$.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -2x_2 * = 0$$

 $-.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * +\lambda(\lambda - 1)x_2 * = 0$

Finding the long-term growth rate, continued! So we had:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0.5 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

• And factoring out the vector \vec{x} *, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda - 1 & -4 \\ -0.5 & \lambda - 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 * \\ x_2 * \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

We can write this expression as two equations:

$$(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -4x_2 * = 0$$

- .5x₁ * + $\lambda x_2 * = 0$

 \blacktriangleright We can multiply the first equation by .5 and the second by $\lambda-1$ so that

$$.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * -2x_2 * = 0$$

 $-.5(\lambda - 1)x_1 * +\lambda(\lambda - 1)x_2 * = 0$

▶ Now adding the equations together gives $(\lambda(\lambda - 1) - 2) x_2 = 0$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.

• $(\lambda^2 - \lambda - 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$,

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.

- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- Thus, our long term growth rate is 2.

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.
- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- ► Thus, our long term growth rate is 2. This means that for large time values, our population is doubling every time step, since x(t+1) = 2x(t).

- Now adding the equations together gives (λ(λ − 1) − 2) x₂ = 0 or (λ² − λ − 2)x₂ = 0
- Either we must have $x_2 = 0$ or $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$
- If x₂ = 0, we can plug that back into our equations and see that we need x₁ = 0 also.
- $(\lambda^2 \lambda 2) = 0$ implies that $(\lambda 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$, so we need $\lambda = 2$ or $\lambda = -1$.
- Since the larger eigenvalue (in absolute value!) is then λ = 2, we call it the dominant eigenvalue!
- ► Thus, our long term growth rate is 2. This means that for large time values, our population is doubling every time step, since x(t+1) = 2x(t).

If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000,

► If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- ► If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.
- This means that our population is growing by 100% in each time step.

- If at time step t = 100, our population has reached it's long term growth rate and the population is 1000, then at time step t = 101, the population will be 2000, and so on.
- This means that our population is growing by 100% in each time step.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 Leslie matrices ALWAYS have exactly one positive dominant eigenvalue.

It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$, and $\lambda = 1$,

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$, and $\lambda = 1$, then $\vec{x}(t+1) = \vec{x}(t)$...

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have x(t + 1) = λx(t), and λ = 1, then x(t + 1) = x(t)... or the population reaches equillibrium in the long term!

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have x(t + 1) = λx(t), and λ = 1, then x(t + 1) = x(t)... or the population reaches equillibrium in the long term!

This is exactly what we saw in the examples we did.

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have x(t + 1) = λx(t), and λ = 1, then x(t + 1) = x(t)... or the population reaches equillibrium in the long term!

- This is exactly what we saw in the examples we did.
- Let's do an example of finding the dominant eigenvalue for a transfer matrix - say the simple wetland model

- It turns out that when you have a transfer matrix (each entry is a probability of moving from one state to another, AND the columns sum to one),the dominant eigenvalue is always equal to 1.
- ► This means that long term, since we have x(t + 1) = λx(t), and λ = 1, then x(t + 1) = x(t)... or the population reaches equillibrium in the long term!

- This is exactly what we saw in the examples we did.
- Let's do an example of finding the dominant eigenvalue for a transfer matrix - say the simple wetland model
- But FIRST, we need to learn how to find a determinant...

• When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.

• When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• This is the same as $A\vec{x}(t) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.

• When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- This is the same as $A\vec{x}(t) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- Which is the same as $(A \lambda I)\vec{x}(t) = 0$.

- When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- This is the same as $A\vec{x}(t) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- Which is the same as $(A \lambda I)\vec{x}(t) = 0$.
- The only time this equation has a nonzero solution x
 , is if the determinant of the matrix A λI is zero!

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- This is the same as $A\vec{x}(t) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- Which is the same as $(A \lambda I)\vec{x}(t) = 0$.
- The only time this equation has a nonzero solution x
 , is if the determinant of the matrix A λI is zero!
- So to find our eigenvalues, we really need to find the values of λ that make det(A – λI) = 0.

- When we look for an eigenvalue, we want to find λ so that $\vec{x}(t+1) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- This is the same as $A\vec{x}(t) = \lambda \vec{x}(t)$.
- Which is the same as $(A \lambda I)\vec{x}(t) = 0$.
- The only time this equation has a nonzero solution x
 , is if the determinant of the matrix A λI is zero!
- So to find our eigenvalues, we really need to find the values of λ that make det(A – λI) = 0.

• The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

• The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix
$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$
 is $ad - bc$.

The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix \$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\$ is ad - bc.
We can denote the determinant of this matrix by \$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\$ = ad - bc

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• The determinant of a 3×3 matrix is a little more complex:

- The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is ad bc.
- We can denote the determinant of this matrix by $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad bc$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• The determinant of a 3×3 matrix is a little more complex:

a ₁₁	a_{12}	a ₁₃
a ₂₁	a 22	a ₂₃
a_{31}	a ₃₂	a ₃₃

- The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is ad bc.
- We can denote the determinant of this matrix by $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad bc$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

 \blacktriangleright The determinant of a 3 \times 3 matrix is a little more complex:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$$

The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix \$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\$ is ad - bc.
We can denote the determinant of this matrix by \$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\$ = ad - bc

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・4日・4日・

• The determinant of a 3×3 matrix is a little more complex:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - a_{12} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix}$$

• The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is ad - bc.

• We can denote the determinant of this matrix by $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$

▶ The determinant of a 3 × 3 matrix is a little more complex:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - a_{12} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + a_{13} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix}$$

Note that it depends on taking some 2×2 determinants!

• The determinant of a 2 × 2 matrix $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ is ad - bc.

• We can denote the determinant of this matrix by $\begin{vmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{vmatrix} = ad - bc$

▶ The determinant of a 3 × 3 matrix is a little more complex:

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} = a_{11} \begin{vmatrix} a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{32} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} - a_{12} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{33} \end{vmatrix} + a_{13} \begin{vmatrix} a_{21} & a_{22} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} \end{vmatrix}$$

Note that it depends on taking some 2×2 determinants!

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$\begin{bmatrix} u(t+1) \\ s(t+1) \\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0 \\ .05 & .88 & 0 \\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u(t) \\ s(t) \\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

 $\det(A - \lambda I)$

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} .95 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ .05 & .88 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & .12 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} .95 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ .05 & .88 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & .12 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

or

$$(.95-\lambda)(.88-\lambda)((1-\lambda)-0)=0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} .95 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ .05 & .88 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & .12 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

or

$$(.95-\lambda)(.88-\lambda)((1-\lambda)-0)=0$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Thus the eigenvalues are $\lambda = .95$, .88, 1.

Recall that the simple wetland model was:

$$egin{bmatrix} u(t+1)\ s(t+1)\ d(t+1) \end{bmatrix} = egin{bmatrix} .95 & 0 & 0\ .05 & .88 & 0\ 0 & .12 & 1 \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix} u(t)\ s(t)\ d(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

Finding the eigenvalues of the matrix, we need:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} .95 - \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ .05 & .88 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & .12 & 1 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

or

$$(.95-\lambda)(.88-\lambda)((1-\lambda)-0)=0$$

Thus the eigenvalues are $\lambda=.95,$.88, 1. The largest is clearly then $\lambda=1!$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

 $\det(A - \lambda I)$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

• $(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ □ > ● = ↔ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ● = ↔ □ > ⊕ = ↔ □ > ⊕ = ⊕ = ↔ □ > ⊕ = ↔ □ > ⊕ = ↔ □ > ⊕ = ↔ □

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

• We can use MATALB to find it's roots! $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{4}, \frac{-3-\sqrt{5}}{4}$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

We can use MATALB to find it's roots! λ = ³/₂, ^{-3+√5}/₄, ^{-3-√5}/₄
 The one with largest absolute value is λ = ³/₂!

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

►

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

- We can use MATALB to find it's roots! $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{4}, \frac{-3-\sqrt{5}}{4}$
- The one with largest absolute value is $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}!$
- so the long term growth rate is $\frac{3}{2}$

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

- We can use MATALB to find it's roots! $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{4}, \frac{-3-\sqrt{5}}{4}$
- The one with largest absolute value is $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}!$
- ► so the long term growth rate is ³/₂ or the population grows by 50% in each time step.

The long term growth rate of the Leslie matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is given by it's dominant eigenvalue:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 - \lambda & 4 & 3 \\ 0.5 & 0 - \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0.25 & 0 - \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

•
$$(-\lambda)(\lambda)^2 - 4(-0.5\lambda) + 3(0.5)(0.25) = 0$$

• $-\lambda^3 + 2\lambda + \frac{3}{8} = 0$

- We can use MATALB to find it's roots! $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}, \frac{-3+\sqrt{5}}{4}, \frac{-3-\sqrt{5}}{4}$
- The one with largest absolute value is $\lambda = \frac{3}{2}!$
- ► so the long term growth rate is ³/₂ or the population grows by 50% in each time step.