Homework 4 for UTK – M351 – Algebra I Spring 2004, Jochen Denzler, MWF 10:10–11:00, Ayres 111

Problem 39:

Given a commutative ring R with identity, we consider the set Seq(R) consisting of all sequences $s = (s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3, ...)$ where each s_i is an element of R. For instance, with $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the following are elements of $Seq(\mathbb{Z})$: (0, 1, 4, 9, ...), or (1, 0, -1, 0, 1, 0, -1, ...). Generally, we will denote by s_i the i^{th} entry in the sequence s, where we begin to count entries at number 0. We define the following operations on Seq(R):

The sum a + b of two sequences is defined componentwise: $a + b = (a_0 + b_0, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, ...)$. The Cauchy product of two sequences is defined as follows:

 $ab = (a_0b_0, a_0b_1 + a_1b_0, a_0b_2 + a_1b_1 + a_2b_0, \ldots)$

such that $(ab)_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i b_{n-i} = a_0 b_n + a_1 b_{n-1} + \ldots + a_{n-1} b_1 + a_n b_0.$

(a) Make sure that you understand the definition: To this end, calculate the Cauchy product ab of the sequence a = (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...) with b = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...) in Seq(\mathbb{Z}). Which number is the the entry $(ab)_{30}$?

(b) Now show that Seq(R) with these operations is a commutative ring.

We call this ring R[[X]] (The ad-hoc name Seq(R) was just for the set.)

Problem 40:

In the ring $\mathbb{Z}[[X]]$, show that the element a = (1, 1, 1, 1, ...) is invertible and give its inverse.

Problem 41:

We consider the subset $\text{Seq}_0(R)$ of Seq(R), consisting of those sequences that have only finitely many non-zero entries. For instance, the sequence (1, 2, 0, -7, 3, 0, 0, 0, 0, ...) is in $\text{Seq}_0(\mathbb{Z})$. Such sequences can be written in abbreviated form as finite sequences by omitting the trailing zeros: (1, 2, 0, -7, 3). Show that $\text{Seq}_0(R)$ is a subring of Seq(R). In particular, to gain sufficient understanding concerning the closure of multiplication, calculate the Cauchy product of (1, 2, 0, -7, 3)and (2, -1, 4).

Problem 42:

In the ring Seq₀(R), we denote the element (0,1) as X. Calculate X^0 , X^2 , X^3 etc., and write (1,2,0,-7,3) as a linear combination of powers of X.

Problem 43:

From now on, we will take the liberty of writing the elements of \mathbb{Z}_n as $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$, rather than $[0], [1], [2], \ldots, [n-1]$ when no confusion arises. Calculate $(1+2X)^3$ in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_3[X]$.

Comments:

The usual symbol for the ring $Seq_0(R)$ is R[X], and this ring is called the polynomial ring with coefficients in R. Even though we can and will later plug in elements of R for the symbol X, as you would when viewing polynomials as functions of a variable, it is crucial that you do NOT view the ring of polynomials over R as a subring of the ring of functions from R to R. It MAY NOT BE one!!!

The usual symbol for the ring, consisting of the set Seq(R) and the addition and multiplication defined here, is R[[X]], and it is called the "ring of formal power series with coefficients in R". (Name to be explained in lecture. Just take note here: unlike the power series you may have encountered at the end of Calculus II, you are NOT expected to plug anything in for X here, and therefore no convergence issues arise.) And one of the reasons I introduce this example is to stress the previous remark about polynomial rings, where plugging in ring elements for X is not part of the definition of R[X] either.

Problem 44:

In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}_6[X]$, find two polynomials p and q, such that $\deg(pq) < (\deg p) + (\deg q)$. Note that \mathbb{Z}_6 is not an integral domain; so the purpose of this problem is to show that the assumption that the coefficient ring be an integral domain is really needed for the degree formula to hold.

Problem 45:

In the ring $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ take the polynomials $a = X^3 + X^2 + 2X + 1$ and $b = 2X^2$. Show that it is not possible to find polynomials q and r in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ such that a = bq + r and deg $r < \deg b$. If the coefficients are taken from a field, the euclidean algorithm asserts that such a division with remainder is possible. So this problem serves as an illustration that the requirement that the coefficient ring be a field is really needed for the euclidean algorithm.

Problem 46:

In the ring $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, find a GCD of $a = X^3 - 7X^2 + 3X + 3$ and $b = X^3 - 6X^2 + X + 7$. Also write the GCD thus obtained as a linear combination of a and b.

Problem 47:

In the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{13}[X]$, find a GCD of the "same" polynomials $a = X^3 - 7X^2 + 3X + 3$ and $b = X^3 - 6X^2 + X + 7$, and write the GCD thus obtained as a linear combination of a and b.

I put the word "same" in quotes, because this is an abuse of language. The coefficient -6 in b of problem 46 is the integer -6, whereas in problem 47, the 'same' -6 is a shorthand for the element $[-6]_{13} = [7]_{13} \in \mathbb{Z}_{13}$. But it's nevertheless common language usage to consider the 'same' polynomial in different rings.

Problem 48:

In a polynomial ring R[X] (R is a commutative ring with 1), choose two polynomials p_1 , p_2 . Consider the set

$$I\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle := \{ r_1 p_1 + r_2 p_2 \mid r_1, r_2 \in R[X] \}$$

of all linear combinations of p_1 and p_2 . (This is a set of common interest in algebra, but the notation I have used for it is different from the usual notation.)

Show that $I\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$ is a subring of R[X] (it may not have a multiplicative identity, though).

Problem 49:

Continuing the previous problem, show that $I\langle p_1, p_2 \rangle$ even is an *ideal.* — "Ideal" is a new concept for you, and here is the definition: A subring S of a commutative ring T is called an *ideal* if it has the property: For any $s \in S$ and any $t \in T$, it holds $st \in S$.

Rmk: The same set of problems 48, 49 could be done with any number of given polynomials p_1, p_2, p_3, \ldots , including the possibility of only a single polynomial.

Problem 50:

Give an example of a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ that is not prime (i.e. can be factored), but has no root in \mathbb{Q} . What is the smallest degree such a polynomial can have (explain why)?

Problem 51:

Show that the polynomial $p = X^2 + X + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]$.

(Obviously p is not a constant polynomial, but:) show that the polynomial function $\mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathbb{Z}_2, x \mapsto p(x)$ is a constant function.

Problem 52:

Show that the polynomial $p = X^4 + 1$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$, but not in $\mathbb{R}[X]$ nor in $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Give a complete factorization in $\mathbb{R}[X]$, and a complete factorization in $\mathbb{C}[X]$.

Also give three different incomplete factorizations (product of two quadratics) in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ (for later use).

Problem 53:

In the fields \mathbb{Z}_p for p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, find one solution of the equations $x^2 + 1 = 0$, $x^2 - 2 = 0$, $x^2 + 2 = 0$ each, or conclude that none exists. Basically that's trial and error, and I have filled in all but three of the "doesn't exist" cases, and a few of the existence cases, to save you work. Note also that in the example p = 29, to find solutions, I only needed to test $1, 2, 3, \ldots, 14$, since $15 \equiv -14$, $16 \equiv -13, \ldots$

p	$x^2 + 1 = 0$	$x^2 - 2 = 0$	$x^2 + 2 = 0$
2	1	0	0
3	DNE	DNE	
5	2	DNE	DNE
7			DNE
11		DNE	
13			DNE
17			
19	DNE	DNE	6
23	DNE		DNE
29	12	DNE	DNE

Once this is accomplished, use the information, and wisdom gleaned from the very last part of the previous problem, to factor X^4+1 completely in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ for the prime numbers p = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17 (and more of them, if you are bored, or want to get bored).

Background info: a simple result from the theory of quadratic residues (in elementary number theory), or in other terms, a simple argument about groups, which we have alas no time to go into, implies in particular: if p is an odd prime such that there is no element in \mathbb{Z}_p whose square is -1, and also no element whose square is 2, then there does exist an element whose square is -2.

Accepting this fact, you can conclude that at least one of the factorizations of $X^4 + 1$ into quadratics $(in \mathbb{Q}[X])$ found in problem 52 can serve as a model for factorization in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$; in other words: $X^4 + 1$ can be factored nontrivially in *every* $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$.

Problem 54:

We have seen that the mapping $F[X] \to \operatorname{Fct}(F \to F)$, which assigns to each polynomial the corresponding polynomial function $F \to F$ cannot be one-to-one, if the field F contains finitely many elements. (Simply because in this case there are still infinitely many polynomials, but only finitely many functions $F \to F$).

Now show conversely that, if F contains infinitely many elements, then the mapping $F[X] \to Fct(F \to F)$ is indeed one-to-one.

Problem 55:

We have seen that a polynomial of degree n in F[X] can have at most n roots in F (or any extension field of F). This assumed that F be a field. In contrast, consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}_{25}[X]$.

How many roots does the polynomial X^2 have in \mathbb{Z}_{25} ?

Give several essentially different factorizations of X^2 in \mathbb{Z}_{25} , thus showing that the unique factorization property may fail in R[X], if R is not a field.

Problem 56:

In $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]$, consider the ideal I of all multiples of the irreducible polynomial $X^3 + X + 1$. Denoting the equivalence class $[X]_I$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/I$ as j, list all elements of $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/I$, and give their multiplication table. In particular, find the inverse of 1 + j in the field $\mathbb{Z}_2[X]/I$.