

Weak products of functions in the Dirichlet space.

Stefan Richter

joint work with Carl Sundberg

Department of Mathematics
The University of Tennessee, Knoxville

3/15/2013

Introduction

$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ disc

$\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$

$\mathbb{B}_d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z| < 1\}$ ball

\mathbb{T}^d d-torus

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$

$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$ a reproducing kernel Hilbert space

Introduction

$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ disc

$\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$

$\mathbb{B}_d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z| < 1\}$ ball

\mathbb{T}^d d-torus

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$

$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$ a reproducing kernel Hilbert space

$$f(z) = \langle f, k_z \rangle \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}$$

Introduction

$\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ disc

$\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$

$\mathbb{B}_d = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^d : |z| < 1\}$ ball

\mathbb{T}^d d-torus

$\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$

$\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$ a reproducing kernel Hilbert space

$$f(z) = \langle f, k_z \rangle \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \left\{ h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i g_i : f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{H}, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \|g_i\| < \infty \right\}$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \|g_i\| : h(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i(z) g_i(z) \text{ for all } z \in \Omega \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \{h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i g_i : f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \|g_i\| : h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i g_i \text{ in } \Omega \right\}.$$

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \{h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i g_i : f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{H}\}$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|f_i\| \|g_i\| : h = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i g_i \text{ in } \Omega \right\}.$$

Let $z \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} |h(z)| &\leq \sum_i |f_i(z)g_i(z)| = \sum_i |\langle f, k_z \rangle \langle g, k_z \rangle| \\ &\leq \|k_z\|^2 \sum_i \|f_i\| \|g_i\| \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega) \text{ and } |h(z)| \leq \|k_z\|^2 \|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}}$$

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$
 $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \text{a Banach space} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$
 $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \text{a Banach space} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = H^2$, then

$$H^\infty \subseteq BMOA \subseteq H^2 \subseteq H^1$$

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ $f, g \in \mathcal{H} \Rightarrow fg \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$
 $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} = \text{a Banach space} \subseteq \text{Hol}(\Omega)$

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = H^2$, then

$$H^\infty \subseteq BMOA \subseteq H^2 \subseteq H^1$$

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = L_a^2$, then

$$H^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B} \subseteq L_a^2 \subseteq L_a^1$$

$\mathcal{B} = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |f'(z)|(1 - |z|^2) < \infty\}$ Bloch space

$L_a^p = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^p dA < \infty\}$ Bergman space

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = D$, then

$$M(D) \subseteq D$$

$D = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^2 dA < \infty\}$ Dirichlet space

$M(D) = \{\varphi : \varphi f \in D \forall f \in D\}$ multipliers

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = D$, then

$$M(D) \subseteq D$$

$D = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^2 dA < \infty\}$ Dirichlet space

$M(D) = \{\varphi : \varphi f \in D \forall f \in D\}$ multipliers

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = H_d^2$, then

$$M(H_d^2) \subseteq H_d^2$$

H_d^2 Drury- Arveson space

$$k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}}$$

Why consider $\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}$?

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = D$, then

$$M(D) \subseteq D$$

$D = \{f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}) : \int_{\mathbb{D}} |f'|^2 dA < \infty\}$ Dirichlet space

$M(D) = \{\varphi : \varphi f \in D \forall f \in D\}$ multipliers

- ▶ If $\mathcal{H} = H_d^2$, then

$$M(H_d^2) \subseteq H_d^2$$

H_d^2 Drury- Arveson space

$$k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \langle z, \lambda \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^d}}$$

- ▶ papers by Coifman-Rochberg-Weiss (1976) and by Arcozzi-Rochberg-Sawyer-Wick (2010)

If the polynomials are dense in \mathcal{H} define the space of **symbols for bounded Hankel forms**

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) = \{b \in \mathcal{H} :$$

$$\exists C > 0 \ |\langle pq, b \rangle| \leq C \|p\| \|q\| \text{ for all polynomials } p, q\}$$

If the polynomials are dense in \mathcal{H} define the space of **symbols for bounded Hankel forms**

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) = \{b \in \mathcal{H} :$$

$$\exists C > 0 \ |\langle pq, b \rangle| \leq C \|p\| \|q\| \text{ for all polynomials } p, q\}$$

$$b \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow H_{\bar{b}}(p, q) = \langle pq, b \rangle$$

is a bounded bilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$

If the polynomials are dense in \mathcal{H} define the space of **symbols for bounded Hankel forms**

$$\mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) = \{b \in \mathcal{H} :$$

$$\exists C > 0 \ |\langle pq, b \rangle| \leq C \|p\| \|q\| \text{ for all polynomials } p, q\}$$

$$b \in \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow H_{\bar{b}}(p, q) = \langle pq, b \rangle$$

is a bounded bilinear form on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$

Hankel operator $H_{\bar{b}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$, $\|\bar{f}\|_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$

$$\langle H_{\bar{b}}p, \bar{q} \rangle_{\overline{\mathcal{H}}} = H_{\bar{b}}(p, q) = \langle pq, b \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

CRW:

Metatheorem: There is a connection between

- ▶ boundedness of $H_{\bar{b}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{H}}$
- ▶ $(\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H})^* = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H})$
- ▶ Carleson measures for \mathcal{H}

e.g. the Hardy space

Theorem ($H^2 \odot H^2 = H^1$)

e.g. the Hardy space

Theorem ($H^2 \odot H^2 = H^1$)

TFAE

(a) $H_{\bar{b}} : H^2 \rightarrow \overline{H^2}$ is bounded

(b) $b \in \mathcal{X}(H^2)$ i.e. $\exists C > 0 |\langle fg, b \rangle_{H^2}| \leq C \|f\|_{H^2} \|g\|_{H^2}$

(c) $\exists C > 0 |\langle h, b \rangle_{H^2}| \leq C \|h\|_{H^1}$, i.e. $b \in H^{1*}$

(d) $b \in BMOA$

(e) $d\mu = |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)dA$ is a Carleson measure for H^2 , i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C \|f\|_{H^2}^2 \text{ for all } f \in H^2$$

e.g. the Bergman space

Theorem ($L_a^2 \odot L_a^2 = L_a^1$ CRW, Horowitz)

e.g. the Bergman space

Theorem ($L_a^2 \odot L_a^2 = L_a^1$ CRW, Horowitz)

TFAE

(a) $H_{\bar{b}} : L_a^2 \rightarrow \overline{L_a^2}$ is bounded (the little Hankel operator)

(b) $b \in \mathcal{X}(L_a^2)$ i.e. $\exists C > 0 |\langle fg, b \rangle_{L_a^2}| \leq C \|f\|_{L_a^2} \|g\|_{L_a^2}$

(c) $\exists C > 0 |\langle h, b \rangle_{L_a^2}| \leq C \|h\|_{L_a^1}$, i.e. $b \in L_a^{1*}$

(d) $b \in \mathcal{B}$ Bloch

(e) $d\mu = |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)^2 dA$ is a Carleson measure for L_a^2 , i.e.

$\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f|^2 d\mu \leq C \|f\|_{L_a^2}^2$ for all $f \in L_a^2$

ARSW, 2010

Theorem

TFAE

(a) $H_{\bar{b}} : D \rightarrow \bar{D}$ is bounded

(b) $b \in \mathcal{X}(D)$ i.e. $\exists C > 0 |\langle fg, b \rangle_{L^2_a}| \leq C \|f\|_D \|g\|_D$

(c) $\exists C > 0 |\langle h, b \rangle_D| \leq C \|h\|_{D \odot D}$, i.e. $b \in (D \odot D)^*$

(d) (Stegenga - capacities, ARS - tree condition)

(e) $d\mu = |b'|^2 dA$ is a Carleson measure for D , i.e.

$\int_D |f|^2 d\mu \leq C \|f\|_D^2$ for all $f \in D$

In short:

If $\mathcal{H}_0 = D$,

$\mathcal{H}_1 = H^2$,

$\mathcal{H}_2 = L^2_a$, then for $j = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_j \odot \mathcal{H}_j)^* = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_j)$$

$= \{b : |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)^j dA \text{ is a Carleson measure for } \mathcal{H}_j\}$

In short:

If $\mathcal{H}_0 = D$,

$\mathcal{H}_1 = H^2$,

$\mathcal{H}_2 = L_a^2$, then for $j = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_j \odot \mathcal{H}_j)^* = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_j)$$

$= \{b : |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)^j dA \text{ is a Carleson measure for } \mathcal{H}_j\}$

$$H^2 \odot H^2 = H^1, \quad L_a^2 \odot L_a^2 = L_a^1$$

In short:

If $\mathcal{H}_0 = D$,

$\mathcal{H}_1 = H^2$,

$\mathcal{H}_2 = L_a^2$, then for $j = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_j \odot \mathcal{H}_j)^* = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_j)$$

$= \{b : |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)^j dA \text{ is a Carleson measure for } \mathcal{H}_j\}$

$$H^2 \odot H^2 = H^1, \quad L_a^2 \odot L_a^2 = L_a^1$$

Similar results for $H^2(\partial\mathbb{B}_d)$ (CRW) and $H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ (Ferguson-Lacey, Lacey-Terwilleger)

In short:

If $\mathcal{H}_0 = D$,

$\mathcal{H}_1 = H^2$,

$\mathcal{H}_2 = L_a^2$, then for $j = 0, 1, 2$ we have

$$(\mathcal{H}_j \odot \mathcal{H}_j)^* = \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{H}_j)$$

$= \{b : |b'|^2(1 - |z|^2)^j dA \text{ is a Carleson measure for } \mathcal{H}_j\}$

$$H^2 \odot H^2 = H^1, \quad L_a^2 \odot L_a^2 = L_a^1$$

Similar results for $H^2(\partial\mathbb{B}_d)$ (CRW) and $H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ (Ferguson-Lacey, Lacey-Terwilleger)

Interesting: Rudin, Miles, Rosay showed that for $d \geq 2$

$\exists h \in H^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ with $h \neq fg \forall f, g \in H^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$

But what is $D \odot D$?

$$M(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(D) \subseteq D \subseteq D \odot D$$

But what is $D \odot D$?

$$M(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(D) \subseteq D \subseteq D \odot D$$

ARSW have some results, but no general intrinsic characterization:

- ▶ $\|z^n\|_{D \odot D} \approx \|z^n\|_D \approx \|z^n\|_{\mathcal{X}(D)} \approx \sqrt{n+1}$

But what is $D \odot D$?

$$M(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(D) \subseteq D \subseteq D \odot D$$

ARSW have some results, but no general intrinsic characterization:

- ▶ $\|z^n\|_{D \odot D} \approx \|z^n\|_D \approx \|z^n\|_{\mathcal{X}(D)} \approx \sqrt{n+1}$
- ▶ If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$ has a **lacunary power series**, then

$$f \in D \odot D \Leftrightarrow f \in D \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{X}(D)$$

But what is $D \odot D$?

$$M(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(D) \subseteq D \subseteq D \odot D$$

ARSW have some results, but no general intrinsic characterization:

- ▶ $\|z^n\|_{D \odot D} \approx \|z^n\|_D \approx \|z^n\|_{\mathcal{X}(D)} \approx \sqrt{n+1}$
- ▶ If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$ has a **lacunary power series**, then

$$f \in D \odot D \Leftrightarrow f \in D \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{X}(D)$$

- ▶ $CM(D \odot D) = CM(D)$

$$\int |h| d\mu \leq c \|h\|_{D \odot D} \Leftrightarrow \int |f|^2 d\mu \leq c \|f\|_D^2$$

But what is $D \odot D$?

$$M(D) \subseteq \mathcal{X}(D) \subseteq D \subseteq D \odot D$$

ARSW have some results, but no general intrinsic characterization:

- ▶ $\|z^n\|_{D \odot D} \approx \|z^n\|_D \approx \|z^n\|_{\mathcal{X}(D)} \approx \sqrt{n+1}$
- ▶ If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(n)z^n$ has a **lacunary power series**, then

$$f \in D \odot D \Leftrightarrow f \in D \Leftrightarrow f \in \mathcal{X}(D)$$

- ▶ $CM(D \odot D) = CM(D)$

$$\int |h| d\mu \leq c \|h\|_{D \odot D} \Leftrightarrow \int |f|^2 d\mu \leq c \|f\|_D^2$$

- ▶ the interpolating sequences for $D \odot D$ equal the interpolating sequences for D

Theorem (Ri-Su)

If \mathcal{H} has reproducing kernel k , then

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(k^2)$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}(k^2)} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}.$$

Theorem (Ri-Su)

If \mathcal{H} has reproducing kernel k , then

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(k^2)$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}(k^2)} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}.$$

If $\mathcal{H} = H^2$, then $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$, $k_\lambda^2(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\lambda}z)^2}$, so

$$H^1 \subseteq L_a^2, \quad \|f\|_{L_a^2} \leq \|f\|_{H^1}$$

- known and due to Harold Shapiro.

Theorem (Ri-Su)

If \mathcal{H} has reproducing kernel k , then

$$\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}(k^2)$$

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}(k^2)} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}} \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H} \odot \mathcal{H}.$$

If $\mathcal{H} = H^2$, then $k_\lambda(z) = \frac{1}{1-\bar{\lambda}z}$, $k_\lambda^2(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\bar{\lambda}z)^2}$, so

$$H^1 \subseteq L_a^2, \quad \|f\|_{L_a^2} \leq \|f\|_{H^1}$$

- known and due to Harold Shapiro.

If $\mathcal{H} = D$, then $\exists c > 0$

$$c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n+1}{\log(n+2)} |\hat{h}(n)|^2 \leq \|h\|_{D \odot D}^2 \leq \|h\|_D^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1) |\hat{h}(n)|^2.$$

Open Question:

If $h \in D \odot D$, then are there $f, g \in D$ such that

$$h = fg?$$

Open Question:

If $h \in D \odot D$, then are there $f, g \in D$ such that

$$h = fg?$$

If so, then the zero sequences of $D \odot D$ equal the zero sequences of D .

Open Question:

If $h \in D \odot D$, then are there $f, g \in D$ such that

$$h = fg?$$

If so, then the zero sequences of $D \odot D$ equal the zero sequences of D .

Definition (Dirichlet space of harmonic functions)

$$D_h = D \oplus \overline{D}_0$$

If $f \in D_h \subseteq L^2(\mathbb{T})$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{D_h}^2 &= \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \left| \frac{f(z) - f(w)}{z - w} \right|^2 \frac{|dz|}{2\pi} \frac{|dw|}{2\pi} \\ &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (|n| + 1) |\hat{f}(n)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$D_h \odot D_h \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T})$$

$$D_h \odot D_h \subseteq L^1(\mathbb{T})$$

Theorem (Ri-Su)

(a) If $h \in D_h \odot D_h$ is real-valued, then there are real-valued functions $u, v \in D_h$, $u \geq 0$ such that

$$h(e^{it}) = u(e^{it})v(e^{it}) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|h\|_{D_h \odot D_h} = \|u\|_{D_h} \|v\|_{D_h}$$

(b) If $h = h^+ - h^- \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ is real-valued, where $h^+ = \max(h, 0)$, $h^- = -\min(h, 0)$, then

$$h \in D_h \odot D_h \Leftrightarrow h^+, h^- \in D_h \odot D_h$$

Definition

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, then

$$\text{cap}_1(E) = \inf\{\|h\|_{D_h \odot D_h} : h \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

$$\text{cap}_2(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{D_h}^2 : f \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

Definition

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, then

$$\text{cap}_1(E) = \inf\{\|h\|_{D_h \odot D_h} : h \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

$$\text{cap}_2(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{D_h}^2 : f \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

Corollary

$$\text{cap}_1(E) = \text{cap}_2(E)$$

Definition

If $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$, then

$$\text{cap}_1(E) = \inf\{\|h\|_{D_h \odot D_h} : h \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

$$\text{cap}_2(E) = \inf\{\|f\|_{D_h}^2 : f \geq 1 \text{ on } E\}$$

Corollary

$$\text{cap}_1(E) = \text{cap}_2(E)$$

Remark: The Theorem and Corollary hold for weighted Dirichlet spaces $D(\mu)$

Theorem (Ri-Su)

If P denotes the Cauchy projection

$$Ph(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} h(e^{it}) \frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}} \frac{dt}{2\pi},$$

then

$P : D_h \odot D_h \rightarrow D \odot D$ is bounded.

Theorem (Ri-Su)

If P denotes the Cauchy projection

$$Ph(z) = \int_0^{2\pi} h(e^{it}) \frac{1}{1 - ze^{-it}} \frac{dt}{2\pi},$$

then

$P : D_h \odot D_h \rightarrow D \odot D$ is bounded.

Hence for $h \in H^1$ we have

▶ $\|h\|_{D_h \odot D_h} \approx \|h\|_{D \odot D}$

▶

$$h \in D \odot D \Leftrightarrow h = u_1 v_1 + i u_2 v_2, \quad u_j, v_j \in D_h$$

Final fact & bad news:

There is an outer function f in D such that

$$\|f^2\|_{D \odot D} \leq \|1\|_D \|f^2\|_D < \|f\|_D \|f\|_D$$