

Homework # 2

Chapter 5

5. We use the hint: Notice that

$$E \exp \left\{ \xi B_\tau - \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \tau \right\} = 1$$

for any stopping time τ with

$$E \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \tau \right\} < \infty$$

By Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \left\{ \xi B_\tau - \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \tau \right\} &= \exp \{ \xi B_\tau \} \exp \left\{ - \frac{1}{2} \xi^2 \tau \right\} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} B_\tau^n \xi^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{2^n n!} \tau^n \xi^{2n} \right) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \xi^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \xi^{2n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Write the right hand side as the single power series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \xi^n$$

and find out

$$c_4 = a_0 b_2 + a_2 b_1 + a_4 b_0 = \frac{1}{8} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{4} \tau B_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{24} B_\tau^4$$

By the uniqueness of Taylor expansion and exponential Wald's identity

$$E \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \tau^2 - \frac{1}{4} \tau B_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{24} B_\tau^4 \right\} = 0$$

This suggests that

$$M_t = \frac{1}{8} t^2 - \frac{1}{4} t B_t^2 + \frac{1}{24} B_t^4$$

is a martingale. There are two ways to verify it: One is to directly check the martingale identity $E[M_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = M_s$ for any $s < t$. Another way is to consider the function

$$\pi(t, x) = \frac{1}{8} t^2 - \frac{1}{4} t x^2 + \frac{1}{24} x^4$$

and to verify that

$$\frac{\partial \pi}{\partial t}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \pi}{\partial t^2}(t, x) = 0$$

10. (a). No, unless $c = 0$ (a trivial case). Indeed,

$$EU_t = Ee^{cB_t} = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} c^2 t \right\} \neq 1 = EU_0$$

(b). Yes. Take $f(t, x) = tx$ in Theorem 5.6, p.51.

(c) No, by direct computation for $s < t$

$$\begin{aligned} E[W_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= E[(B_s + (B_t - B_s))^3 - tB_t | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= E\left\{B_s^3 + 3B_s(B_t - B_s)^2 + 3B_s^2(B_t - B_s) + (B_t - B_s)^3 - tB_t | \mathcal{F}_s\right\} \\ &= B_s^3 + 3B_s(t - s) - tB_s \neq W_s \end{aligned}$$

(d), Yes. Take $f(x) = x^3$ in Theorem 5.6, p.51.

(e). Yes, by a direct computation

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= E\left[\frac{1}{3}(B_s + (B_t - B_s))^3 - tB_t | \mathcal{F}_s\right] \\ &= E\left\{\frac{1}{3}B_s^3 + B_s(B_t - B_s)^2 + B_s^2(B_t - B_s) + \frac{1}{3}(B_t - B_s)^3 - tB_t | \mathcal{F}_s\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{3}B_s^3 + B_s(t - s) - tB_s = \frac{1}{3}B_s^3 - sB_s = Y_s \end{aligned}$$

(f). Yes when $c = 1/2$. No when $c \neq 1/2$.

11. Set $\tilde{f}(t, x) = f(t)x$. By Theorem 5.6, p.51.

$$M_t = \tilde{f}(t, B_t) - \int_0^t L\tilde{f}(s, B_s) ds$$

is a martingale. The conclusion follows from

$$L\tilde{f}(t, x) = \frac{\partial \tilde{f}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{f}}{\partial x^2} = f'(t)x$$

20. (a). Write $R = \max\{a, b\}$ and define $\tau_R = \{t \geq 0; |B_t| \geq R\}$. We have that $\tau \leq \tau_R$. We claim that

$$E \exp\{\theta \tau_R\} < \infty \quad \forall \theta < \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\pi}{2R}\right)^2$$

By the relation $e^x \geq x^n/n!$ for any $x \geq 0$, this leads to $E\tau^n \leq E\tau_R^n < \infty$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Let $\xi \in \mathbf{R}$ be fixed and consider the complex valued martingale

$$M_t = \exp\left\{i\xi B_t + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}t\right\}$$

is a martingale. By Doob's stopping rule, $M_{\tau_R \wedge t} = EM_0 = 1$. Or

$$E \exp\left\{i\xi B_{\tau_R \wedge t} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(\tau \wedge t)\right\} = 1$$

Replacing ξ by $-\xi$,

$$E \exp \left\{ -i\xi B_{\tau_R \wedge t} + \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(\tau \wedge t) \right\} = 1$$

Adding two equations together and by Euler's formula,

$$E \cos(\xi B_{\tau_R \wedge t}) \exp \left\{ \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(\tau \wedge t) \right\} = 1$$

We now let $|\xi| < \pi/(2R)$. Notice that

$$0 < \cos(|\xi|R) \leq \cos(\xi B_{\tau_R \wedge t})$$

So we have that

$$E \exp \left\{ \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}(\tau_R \wedge t) \right\} \leq \cos^{-1}(|\xi|R) < \infty$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$. By Fatou lemma, or by monotonic convergence,

$$E \exp \left\{ \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}\tau_R \right\} \leq \cos^{-1}(|\xi|R) < \infty$$

as far as $|\xi| < \pi/(2R)$. Letting $\theta = \frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$. leads to the claimed exponential integrability.

(b). Set $f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3$. Then $\frac{1}{2}f''(x) = x$. By Theorem 5.6, p.51,

$$M_t = f(B_t) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''(B_s) ds = \frac{1}{3}B_t^3 - \int_0^t B_s ds$$

is a martingale. By Doob's stopping rule, $EM_{\tau \wedge t} = EM_0 = 0$. Or,

$$E \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} B_s ds = \frac{1}{3}EB_{\tau \wedge t}^3$$

Notice that

$$|B_{\tau \wedge t}|^3 \leq (a \vee b)^3$$

By dominated control,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} EB_{\tau \wedge t}^3 = EB_{\tau}^3 = (-a)^3 P\{B_{\tau} = -a\} + b^3 P\{B_{\tau} = b\} = \frac{-a^3 b}{a+b} + \frac{b^3 a}{a+b} = ab(b-a)$$

Here we have used Corollary 5.11, p.56.

To justify the step " $t \rightarrow \infty$ " on the left hand side, notice that

$$\left| \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} B_s ds \right| \leq \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} |B_s| ds \leq \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} (a \vee b) ds \leq (a \vee b)\tau$$

By the fact (corollary 5.11, p.56) that $E\tau = ab < \infty$, and by dominated control again,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \int_0^{\tau \wedge t} B_s ds = E \int_0^{\tau} B_s ds$$

In summary,

$$E \int_0^{\tau} B_s ds = \frac{1}{3} ab(b-a)$$

21. One way to do it is to use Doob's stopping rule to the bounded stopping time $\tau_R \wedge t$ and to the martingale $M_t = |B_t|^2 - dt$. So we have $EM_{\tau_R \wedge t} = EM_0 = 0$. Or

$$E|B_{\tau_R \wedge t}|^2 = dE(\tau_R \wedge t)$$

By monotonic convergence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(\tau_R \wedge t) = E\tau_R$$

By the fact that $|B_{\tau_R \wedge t}|^2 \leq R^2$, the right hand side is finite and, by dominated convergence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E|B_{\tau_R \wedge t}|^2 = E|B_{\tau_R}|^2 = R^2$$

In summary,

$$E\tau_R = d^{-1}E|B_{\tau_R}|^2 = d^{-1}R^2$$

22. (a). For any $t \geq 0$,

$$\{\tau \wedge \sigma \leq t\} = \{\tau \leq t\} \cup \{\sigma \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

(b). For any $t \geq 0$,

$$\{\sigma \leq \tau\} \cap \{\tau \wedge \sigma \leq t\} = \{\sigma \leq \tau\} \cap \{\sigma \leq t\} = \{\sigma \leq \tau \wedge t\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t} \subset \mathcal{F}_t$$

(c). We first assume that τ and σ are bounded.

$$E(B_\sigma B_\tau) = E(B_\sigma B_\tau)1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}} + E(B_\sigma B_\tau)1_{\{\tau < \sigma\}}$$

For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned} E(B_\sigma B_\tau)1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}} &= E\left(B_{\sigma \wedge \tau}1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}B_\tau\right) = E(B_\sigma B_\tau)1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}} \\ &= E\left(B_{\sigma \wedge \tau}1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}E[B_\tau|\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}]\right) = E\left(B_{\sigma \wedge \tau}^2 1_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Rotating the roles of σ and τ ,

$$E(B_\sigma B_\tau)1_{\{\tau < \sigma\}} = E\left(B_{\sigma \wedge \tau}^2 1_{\{\sigma > \tau\}}\right)$$

Thus,

$$E(B_\sigma B_\tau) = E\left(B_{\sigma \wedge \tau}^2\right) = E(\sigma \wedge \tau)$$

where the last step follows from Wald's second identity.

We now drop the boundedness assumption on τ, σ . Applying what have been proved to $\tau \wedge t$ and $\sigma \wedge t$

$$E(B_{\sigma \wedge t} B_{\tau \wedge t}) = E(\sigma \wedge \tau \wedge t)$$

We now let $t \rightarrow \infty$ on the both side. By monotonic convergence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(\sigma \wedge \tau \wedge t) = E(\sigma \wedge \tau)$$

It remains to show

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E(B_{\sigma \wedge t} B_{\tau \wedge t}) = E(B_\sigma B_\tau)$$

Indeed, by dominated convergence theorem, all we need is that

$$E \sup_{t \geq 1} |B_{\sigma \wedge t}| |B_{\tau \wedge t}| < \infty$$

By Cauchy, maximum inequalities and Wald's identity

$$\begin{aligned} E \sup_{t \geq 1} |B_{\sigma \wedge t}| |B_{\tau \wedge t}| &\leq \left\{ E \sup_{t \geq 1} |B_{\sigma \wedge t}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ E \sup_{t \geq 1} |B_{\tau \wedge t}|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left\{ 4E|B_\sigma|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ 4E|B_\tau|^2 \right\}^{1/2} = 4 \left\{ E\sigma \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ E\tau \right\}^{1/2} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

(d).

$$\begin{aligned} E|B_\tau - B_\sigma|^2 &= EB_\tau^2 + EB_\sigma^2 - 2E(B_\sigma B_\tau) = E\tau + E\sigma - 2E(\sigma \wedge \tau) \\ &= E(\tau + \sigma - 2\sigma \wedge \tau) = E|\tau - \sigma| \end{aligned}$$